SURAT SMART CITY DEVELOPMENT LTD.

Smart City Cell

ADDENDA & CORRIGENDUM 3

Name of work: Request for proposal (RFP) for The Appointment of Consultant for Techno Financial Feasibility Report and Entire Transaction Advisory services for Innovation, Incubation, Start up and Trade Facilitation Centre under Smart City Mission at Surat.

Reference: Tender notice (on line) No. GM(Engg)/SSCDL/Smart City Cell/ABD 17/01/2017-18

Sub: Addenda & Corrigendum

Date of issue of RFP: 27/04/2017

Sr. No.	RFP Reference	Queries/Suggestions provided by the Bidders	Response/Corrigendum if any
1.	General Terms and Conditions:	Request you to also allow Bid submission through	Hard Copy Submission shall be by
	For the purpose of realization of DD the	reputed Private Couriers.	RPAD/Speed Post only.
	Bidder shall send the DD as original through		
	RPAD/ Speed Post so as to reach Account		
	Department Main Office within 7 days from		
	the last date of uploading		
2.	Project Duration:	Please clarify the maximum project duration.	The project duration shall be as per RFP
	As described in RFP		clause no. 2.2 (Milestones, Deliverables
			and Payment terms)
3.	Clause 1.9.1:	Request you to permit Demand Draft from a	EMD, RFP Fee and Performance Security
		"SCHEDULED COMMERCIAL BANK" for Bid	shall be submitted through All
	The cost of RFP document (Bid Fee) is Rs.	Document fee and Earnest Money Deposit	nationalized bank and the following
	5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand Only). which	Also, reference to clause 1.20.1 of the same RFP,	scheduled bank only with their respective
	shall be submitted in the form of Demand	Performance Guarantee is already being accepted	forms. Allowed Scheduled banks are:
	Draft drawn from a Nationalized Bank in	from a "SCHEDULED BANK" and this may	Yes Bank ltd., The Mehsana Urban co op.
	favour of "Surat Smart City Development	kindly be extended to clause 1.9.1 as well	bank ltd., Axis bank, ICICI Bank, HDFC
	Limited", payable at Surat and to be submitted		Bank, Kotak Mahindra Bank, The
	in envelope Technical proposal online by		Kalupur Commercial co op Bank, Rajkot
	scanning and original in hard copy)		Nagrik Sahkari Bank ltd., The
			Ahmedabad Co op Bank ltd.
4.	Clause 2.1.1 sub point -12:	Kindly elaborate the meaning of IT requirements	It is the scope of the Project Reports.
	Identify and finalize the all Infrastructural/IT		
	requirements for the development of		
	Innovation, Incubation, Start up and Trade		
	Facilitation Centre		
5.	Clause 2.1.1 Phase 1 sub point 2:	Kindly clarify what support SSCDL will provide to	SSCDL shall provide the venue cost and

Sr. No.	RFP Reference	Queries/Suggestions provided by the Bidders	Response/Corrigendum if any
	Conduct surveys, interviews, workshops,	the Consultants including venue and other expenses	other expanses on its own, but consultant
	seminars also to evaluate regional economy	for conducting Workshops/seminars.	shall has to manage the other things, e.g.
	growth, to find out entrepreneurial and		schedule and topics of talk, invitation of
	intellectual property activity and potential job		experts, citizen and stake holder
	creation.		engagement etc.
6.	Clause 2.1.1:	Please clarify how long SSCDL will take for	The approximate approval of Vision cum
	Scope of Work Operating/Business	approval of Vision cum Feasibility Report prepared	Feasibility Report may take 2-3 weeks,
	Development Plan:	in Phase - 1	and as it get approved the work order will
	If the outcome of the Phase 1 Feasibility Study		be issued for phase 2.
	is favourable and acceptable by the Authority,	Please clarify time required between approval of	
	the consultant shall prepare a business plan and	Phase – 1 and Commencement/Issue of Work order	
	financial pro forma for the project.	of Phase - 2	
7.	Clause 2.3:	The scope envisaged by SSCDL encompassing	Presentation should be as and when as
	Prepare presentation during the work and also	Vision cum Feasibility Report and Operating/	requirement;
	prepare the drawings if needed	business development plan doesn't seem to involve	No Detailed architectural drawings are
		any architectural deliverable.	needed, however it is expected from
		Kindly clarify what drawings are required to be	bidder that the functional scope for
		prepared; and whether any architectural drawings	building of the project to be defined.
		are required.	
8.	Clause 3.4.1 (e) & Annexure 4:	Kindly clarify that in case of a bank, INCOME for	Consider as "turn over/income".
	Eligibility Criteria:	last 3 financial years can be provided instead of	
	Average annual turnover of the Bidder in the	TURNOVER and therefore that the word	
	last three financial years (i.e. 2013-14, 2014-	"turnover" in the format for ANNEXURE 4 can be	
	15, 2015-16) shall be at least Rs. 50.00 lakh	replaced by the word "income".	
9.	Annexure 3: Name of Principal Architect	Kindly clarify that this is relevant only in case of	Minimum one no. of personnel of the
	Details of Registration with Council of	an architectural firm and not applicable to non-	consultancy/company should be

Sr. No.	RFP Reference	Queries/Suggestions provided by the Bidders	Response/Corrigendum if any
	Architecture, India	architectural firm interested to apply for the tender	registered with Council of Architecture,
			India.
10.	Annexure 17: Declaration of Conflict of	(a) Is this declaration required from all bidders (or	Conflict of Interest is required from all
	Interest	only in case of a perceived conflict with any	bidders and it shall be "self declaration".
		entity)	
	Declaration of Conflict of Interest: Assessment	(b) Kindly provide any specific Format of text for	
	and Declaration regarding potential conflict	the declaration	
	with any entity.		
11.	Clause 1.10, Sr. No. 4: Key Personnel	Request to change it to MBA specialization Finance	CA or MBA with finance shall be
	Financial Expert: Qualification: CA or	who can do justice to the desired outcome.	accepted.
	Bachelor and Master Degree in commerce.		
12.	Clause 3.5.2 Table 3-1 Sr. No. III & Annexure	Kindly clarify which three financial years.	The minimum average consulting turn
	4:		over/income for last three FY (i.e. 2014-
	Financial Capability	As the audited reports of FY 2016-17 are not	15, 2015-16, 2016-17) shall be 10.00 cr
	Gross financial turnover of the Bidder in last	available, request you to consider period from FY	and Audited Report of last 3 Financial
	three financial years (2014-15 to 2016-17)	14-16.	year (i.e. 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17)
	from consultancy fees		shall be submitted in soft copy in online
	• Upto Rs. 50 lacs – Marks 3.0	For a public listed company, where the annual	submission.
	• More than Rs. 50 lacs – 5.0	reports are in the public domain request you to	However only for the FY 2016-17 the CA
	• More than Rs. 1.0 Cr – 8.0	accept CA certificate and the relevant links to	certification shall be accepted.
	Audited financial results of all relevant years	annual report on the company website.	For Technical Evaluation the marking
	and summary to be submitted with CA"s	We feel the financial eligibility of INR 50 lakhs is	system for turn over shall be as given
	certificate as per Annexure 3.	too less for a project of this nature.	below:
		Hence we request the agency that the minimum	If 10.00 cr- 3.0 marks,
	Format Statement Showing Financial	consulting turnover of more than INR 50 Crore be	11.00 to 20.00 cr- 5.0 marks,
	The format in Annexure 4 requires turnover	kept as a cut off to encourage serious bidders.	and more then 20.00 cr- 8.0 marks

Sr. No.	RFP Reference	Queries/Suggestions provided by the Bidders	Response/Corrigendum if any
	from 2013-14 to 2015-16.		
13.	Annexure 6: Project Level Experience	Please clarify that ongoing as well as completed	Only completion certificate shall be
	For each project shown in the table, the	assignments would be accepted.	accepted.
	following should be enclosed:		However if 80% work is completed then
	A detailed Project Information Sheet	Most projects don't get completion letters and often	it shall be accepted.
	(format enclosed below) should be	project implemented gets stalled due to various	
	enclosed	inevitable factors and doesn't reach the conclusion	
	A Project Completion Certificate/ email	stage. Kindly clarify that W/O would suffice in	
	from client/ last payment details and Green	such cases.	
	rating certificate/Evidence.		
14.	Others	Requesting SMC/SSCDL to share previously	Available data shall be shared with the
		prepared/existing survey data on the local	successful bidder.
		market/economy.	
		Also requesting SSCDL to assist and facilitate	
		selected consultant for co-ordination with other	
		stakeholders whose time/inputs may be required	
		during the course of the project.	
15.	Clause 1.10. Key Personnel:	We feel, this position is not required as other	Consultant shall give a letter promising of
	7. Local Economic Expert	experts of the team- Sector Expert & Leasing	providing the local economy expert
	Shall aware of local economy and business	Expert/ PPP Expert would take into consideration	within online technical submission and
	growth. Shall have a good knowledge of	aspects such as local economy of Surat and growth	after awarding the contract, consultant
	economy of Surat	drivers, while preparing the Techno Financial	has to provide the same.
		Feasibility Report for the Innovation, Incubation,	
		Start up and Trade Facilitation Centre	
16.	Clause 2.2. Milestones, Deliverables and	We understand bidders are required to quote	No change in Price Bid Format

RFP Reference	Queries/Suggestions provided by the Bidders	Response/Corrigendum if any
Payment terms:	separate Consultancy Fees for both the deliverables	
Annexure 18: Format For Price Bid	a) Vision cum Feasibility Report for Innovation,	
	Incubation, Start up and Trade Facilitation Centre	
	b) Operating/Business Development Plan for	
	Innovation, Incubation, Start up and Trade	
	Facilitation Centre	
	Based on our experience of undertaking similar	
	assignments in the past we feel, these should not be	
	treated as separate services as they are inter-linked.	
	We request the agency to modify the payment terms	
	accordingly.	
Clause 3.4 Eligibility Criteria:	We request you to remove clause stating "The	Clause 3.4 Eligibility Criteria:
d) The Bidders must have worked on at least	Bidders must have worked on at least one	d(revised): The Bidders must have
one innovation/ start up/ Incubation/ trade	innovation/ start up/ Incubation/ trade facility centre	worked on at least one innovation/ start
facility centre with feasibility and operation	with feasibility and operation model/business model	up/ Incubation/ trade facility centre or
model/business model or vision report	or vision report". Since it is Techno Financial	similar kind of project that involves
	Feasibility Report and Entire Transaction services	setting up of organisation / institution.
	so any kindly of project in similar nature services	This criteria shall have, as given in table
	should allowed.	3.1 maximum of 10 marks.
Clause 3.5.2 Criteria for evaluation of	Experience of Work	If bidder displays more additional project
Technical Proposals:	• Maximum: 25 Marks, how many more	then it will have maximum allotted
	projects are required to display with above	marks.
II) Experience of Work:	criteria and what are the marking for nos of	
■ The Company/Consultancy with	the projects.	
experience in preparation of Techno-		
	Payment terms: Annexure 18: Format For Price Bid Clause 3.4 Eligibility Criteria: d) The Bidders must have worked on at least one innovation/ start up/ Incubation/ trade facility centre with feasibility and operation model/business model or vision report Clause 3.5.2 Criteria for evaluation of Technical Proposals: II) Experience of Work: The Company/Consultancy with	Payment terms: Annexure 18: Format For Price Bid a) Vision cum Feasibility Report for Innovation, Incubation, Start up and Trade Facilitation Centre b) Operating/Business Development Plan for Innovation, Incubation, Start up and Trade Facilitation Centre Based on our experience of undertaking similar assignments in the past we feel, these should not be treated as separate services as they are inter-linked. We request the agency to modify the payment terms accordingly. Clause 3.4 Eligibility Criteria: d) The Bidders must have worked on at least one innovation/ start up/ Incubation/ trade facility centre with feasibility and operation model/business model or vision report facility centre with feasibility and operation model/business model or vision report facility centre with feasibility and operation model/business model or vision report with feasibility Report and Entire Transaction services so any kindly of project in similar nature services should allowed. Clause 3.5.2 Criteria for evaluation of Technical Proposals: • Maximum: 25 Marks, how many more projects are required to display with above criteria and what are the marking for nos of the projects.

Sr. No.	RFP Reference	Queries/Suggestions provided by the Bidders	Response/Corrigendum if any
	Commercial Feasibility Report for minimum 02 no. of any project not less than Rs. 10.00 crores each or 01 no. of any project not less than Rs. 15.00 crores. (15 marks) Maximum: 25 Marks, if more projects are displayed with above criteria Consultant must have worked on Innovation/ Incubation centre/ start up/ Trade facilitation/ similar project for preparing policy and operation model/business model (max 10 marks)	How many projects required for Innovation/ Incubation centre/ start up/ Trade facilitation/ similar project for preparing policy and operation model/business model (max 10 marks) and what are the marking for nos of the projects.	
19.	Clause 2.4.2: All design calculation along with original Architectural/Structural drawings on computer floppy/CDs shall be submitted for record and shall be the property of SSCDL	Kindly advice if the consultant is required to develop to the Architectural/Structural drawings of the Innovation, Incubation, Start up and Trade Facilitation Centre as part of the scope	Presentation should be as and when as requirement; No Detailed architectural drawings are needed, however it is expected from bidder that the functional scope for building of the project to be defined.
20.	Table 3.1 - Presence of professionally qualified staff:	Kindly clarify if the experts should be in □house or can they be taken as external advisors on contract for the duration of the project.	If bidder hire the external advisor then SSCDL shall require the letter of support of acceptance from the external advisor.
21.	Experience of work:	We understand that the past eligibility experience criteria of the consultant would be preparation of techno commercial feasibility report of any project and not necessarily for innovation/ incubation centre/ start up/ Trade facilitation.	Eligibility criteria is revised as "The Bidders must have worked on at least one innovation/ start up/ Incubation/ trade facility centre or similar kind of project that involves setting up of organisation /

Sr. No.	RFP Reference	Queries/Suggestions provided by the Bidders	Response/Corrigendum if any
		Kindly confirm. Also please confirm whether ongoing projects would be considered	institution." And if 80% work is completed then it shall be considered. For Technical evaluation table 3.1 point no. 2 any project shall be considered for techno commercial feasibility report.
22.	Annexure 6 – Project level experience:	In the column of "Year of Completion", kindly advice whether the same is for the completion of the consultancy project or for the physical project structure for which the consultancy work has been undertaken	In the column of "Year of Completion" indicates the completion of consultancy services.
23.	Annexure 6: Instruction: b. For each project shown in the table, the following should be enclosed o A detailed Project Information Sheet (format enclosed below) should be enclosed o A Project Completion Certificate/ email from client/ last payment details and Green rating certificate/Evidence.	Request you to kindly clarify if the Green Rating Certificate is mandatory, since for some projects for which the consultancy services have already been provided, the construction of the project structure may be a work in progress	Consider 2nd point of 'b' in Instructions of Annexure 6 (A Project Completion Certificate/ email from client/ last payment details and Green rating certificate/Evidence) as deleted.
24.	Others	In the event of absence of Completion Certificate, kindly confirm if the invoice copy can be submitted as documentary proof	Only completion certificate shall be accepted. However if 80% work is completed then it shall be accepted.

Sr. No.	RFP Reference	Queries/Suggestions provided by the Bidders	Response/Corrigendum if any
25.	Clause 4.1.1		Consider clause 4.1.1 as deleted
]	The Consultants shall check that any		
	manufacturing or construction firm with which		
	they might be associated with will not be		
	eligible to participate in bidding for any goods		
	or works resulting from or associated with the		
	project of which this consulting assignment		
	forms a part.		
26.	Table no. 3.1	Bidders requested to intimate them before 3-4 days.	It is not mandatory to submit Presentation
	Point no IV:	And also not to submit in technical Bid.	in technical bid, however authority shall
	Presentation of project as per Scope of work		intimate date and time before 3-4 days.
27.	other	We would also like to seek clarification on	Bidder has to sign and stamp on each
		whether the bid can be submitted using the e-	page of all supporting document and then
		signature feature, wherein the scanned copy of the	it shall be submitted.
		signature of the Authorised Signatory and the	
		company stamp would be posted in each page and	
		with the same copy being printed for the physical	
		submission. Kindly confirm.	
28.	Annexure 3: Bidder's Organisation	Kindly clarify if the supporting document	No Change
28.			No Change
	Supporting documents required • Incorporation Cartificate	requirement can be substituted by published annual	
	Incorporation Certificate Samina Tay Paristration Certificate	reports of public listed company	
	Service Tax Registration Certificate DE registration Certificate		
	PF registration Certificate		

Sr. No.	RFP Reference	Queries/Suggestions provided by the Bidders	Response/Corrigendum if any
	IT Pan Card Copy		

Unless and otherwise amended as above, all RFP conditions shall remain unchanged.

This **Addenda and Corrigendum-3** is published and attached herewith In addition to the online RFP published vide Tender Notice no. GM (Engg)/SSCDL/Smart City Cell/ABD 17/01/2017-18, Bidders are instructed that all **Addendums** shall be treated as a part of Tender Document and shall be submitted along with the RFP Document.

General Manager (Engg)
Surat Smart City Development Ltd.